UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

| | United States of America | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
|--------------|---|--|
| | v. Nicholas David Bernal | Case No. 1:12-cr-00132-RHB |
| | Defendant | |
| | After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re defendant be detained pending trial. | form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require |
| | | indings of Fact |
| (1) | | in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of |
| (/ | | that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had |
| | a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more. | 1156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for |
| | an offense for which the maximum sentence is | death or life imprisonment. |
| | an offense for which a maximum prison term of | f ten years or more is prescribed in: |
| | a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat | en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses. |
| | any felony that is not a crime of violence but in | volves: |
| | a minor victim | |
| | the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S. | or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250 |
| (2) | The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. | while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state |
| (3) | A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1). | date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the |
| (4) | Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defendant | mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption. |
| | Alternativ | ve Findings (A) |
| (1) | There is probable cause to believe that the defendar | nt has committed an offense |
| | for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et | |
| | under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | |
| (2) | The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a | ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community. |
| _ | Alternativ | ve Findings (B) |
| √ (1) | There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app | pear. |
| √ (2) | There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang | ger the safety of another person or the community. |
| | Part II – Statement of | the Reasons for Detention |
| | | ne detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing |
| | a preponderance of the evidence that: | |
| | ndant has a lengthy criminal history. ndant has a history of substance abuse. | |
| | ndant has a criminal history that includes assaultive b | ehavior |
| | ndant has a criminal history that includes assaultive b | |
| | e is clear and convincing evidence that: | |
| | efendant holds a leadership position in the gang know | n as the Latin Kings; and |
| | ne Latin Kings engage in violent behavior and drug ac | tivity. |
| | Part III – Direction | s Regarding Detention |

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

| Date: | July 25, 2012 | Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S | 3. Carmody |
|-------|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | Name and Title: Ellen S. C | armody, U.S. Magistrate Judge |